5th International Workshop - Advances in Cleaner Production São Paulo - Brazil - 20th to 22nd, May - 2015

An International Review of Sustainability in Higher Education Studies: A Messy Concept with Contradictory Attitudes

Academic Work

SUMMARY

1 INTRODUCTION

Evolution of Environmental Education concept - "Decade" (UN)

EE, ESD, EfS, ... SHE

The reaching to SHE concept and attributes

2 QUESTION - OBJECTIVES

What is the scenary of SHE around the world – according to academic research?

3 METHOD

Exploratory – Bibliographic - Explanatory

4 MAIN RESULTS

There are leading countries, main issue is the view of students and pedagogical practices on sustainability

5 CONCLUSIONS

Gap between knowledge and attitudes, sustainability as messy concept.



1 INTRODUCTION

Environmental Education (EE) is "a process of understanding and clarifying the value of the environment and the relevance of environmental resources with a view to encouraging people to use such resources in a more sustainable way" (Holt, 2003: 324).

EE arose in the 60's focused on natural environment.

It evolved to other forms as Environment for Sustainability

(EfS), which embraced "cultural, environmental, health, peace, social justice, scientific and technological dimensions of any given problem" (Christie *et al.*, 2013: 387).



1 INTRODUCTION

Another concept is Education for Sustainable Development

(ESD), as a learning and literacy concept to support action on, through, and for environment (Ellis and Weekes, 2008).

the United Nations

Sustainability in Higher Education (SHE), as a broader form of linking education and sustainability, has blossoming when the UN launched the Decade of Education for Sustainable Development, embracing the years from 2005 to 2014.



1 INTRODUCTION

What is SHE?

- Represents a comprehensive and complex set of plans and initiatives for promoting environment in teaching, learning, and community values.
- It involves institutional context universities and their management board for "greening the campuses" - and
- educational actors students, teachers, community stakeholders.
- SHE represents the incorporation of sustainability in
- all policies, especially in the educational system (Kagawa, 2007; Ellis and Weekes, 2008; Christie *et al.*, 2013; Breunig *et al.*, 2014; Christie *et al.*, 2014).



1 INTRODUCTION What is SHE?

- SHE embraces actions and reflections about
- academic community responses to sustainability challenges, involving curricula addressed to a
- transition society, teachers and students initiatives in this field, inside and outside campuses, mainly
- with community participation, as well as institutional movements for "greening the campuses and/or
- the curricula" (Evangelinos *et al.,* 2009).



2 QUESTION - OBJECTIVES

• What is the scenery of SHE around the world – according to academic research?

OBJECTIVES

To review practical research that unveils:

how academics (including students) understand sustainability; to what extent they can be considered literate in this subject; whether their attitudes match their beliefs; what are the main pedagogical practices on sustainability; what are the roles of universities on sustainability – greening the campuses?



3 METHOD

- Exploratory and explanatory study
- Systematic, bibliographic review

Key words – "sustainability" and "higher education"

2000-2014

- Data bases: Scopus/Science Direct, Web of Knowledge, Emerald, and Taylor & Francis
- 137 articles were found, and 26 were selected because they contain practical research.



4 MAIN RESULTS

Academic Work

Year	N ofstudies	Author(s)
2003	1	Holt (England)
2006	4	Bremerand López-Franco (Mexico);
		Ramirez (Australia); Stir (Australia);
		Velazquezet al.(Mexico).
2007	2	Kagawa (England);
		Murray and Murray (England).
2008	2	Carew and Mitchell (Australia);
		Ellis and Weekes (England).
2009	2	Erdogan and Tuncer (Turkey);
		Qablan et al.(Jordan).
2010	2	Davidson et al. (U.S.);
		DeshaandHargroves (Australia).
2011	2	Kitamura and Hoshii (Japan);
		Mingue et al. (Spain).
2012	3	Glassey and Haile (England);
		Richter and Schumacher (Germany);
		Wright and Wilton (Canada).
2013	5	Christie et al. (England);Mintz and Tal (Israel);
		Shephard and Furnari (New Zealand);
		Yuan and Zhuo (China); Zsóka et al.(Hungary).
2014	3	Breunig et al. (Canada); Christie et al. (England);
		(Zsóka et al. (Hungary)
Sum	26	



Selected studies

4 MAIN RESULTS

- England and Australia are the top countries in SHE research.
- Main types of studies:

Survey	15
Experimental	4
Multiple case	2
Interviews	2
Delphi	1
Focus group	1
Theoreticaland experim.	1



4 MAIN RESULTS

Identifiedconstructs	Freq.
Students' viewson	12
sustainability	
Students' literacy	4
Students' attitudes	5
Pedagogicalpractices	12
Universities' roles	2

Investigated issues in the studies



4.1 MAIN RESULTS – Students' view on sustainability

- Twelve studies investigated the view of higher education students on sustainability.
- Business students were more inclined to see sustainability as social justice and commitment with future generations than social sciences students (Holt, 2003).
- Glassey and Haile (2012) identified concern on professional future as the reason for a sustainability perspective.
- In all these cases (Holt, 2003; Bremer and López-Franco, 2006; Ellis and Weekes, 2008; Erdogan and Tuncer, 2009; Glassey and Haile, 2012), practical perspective means the way sustainability is
- understood in students' mental models.



4.1 MAIN RESULTS – Students' view on sustainability

Noticeable:

high level of knowledge does not mean more inclination towards environmental change, because university students have shown a superior standard of awareness on sustainability, but secondary school students were regarded as being more inclined to adopt proenvironmental behavior (Zsóka *et al.*, 2013).



4.2 MAIN RESULTS – Students' literacy on sustainability

 Few studies specifically address students' literacy on sustainability, because it is difficult to capture to what extent formal and informal knowledge about this issue can be translated into skills and abilities to face sustainability problems.

For instance, in a survey by Zsóka **et al**. (2013) of 2,998 students from 70 universities of 23 countries, 52.1% have shown awareness of the need for consumption patterns change, but they do not tend to change significantly their own consumption levels.



4.3 MAIN RESULTS – Students' attitudes on sustainability

- Zsóka *et al.* (2013) also found an escalation of commitment with the idea that EfS brings positive attitudes in university students but most of them keep such commitments only in discourse, because when it comes to practice, they tend to stay in their own comfort zone, avoiding any actual reduction in consumption levels. Based on the broad scope of the research across 70 universities from 23 countries and 2,998 respondents, this is a compelling finding.
- It matches the results of the Breunigs *et al*. (2014) study, according to which students sense they can make a difference for sustainability, although they refrain from action when it demands personal efforts, or brings costs, or defy self-convenience

4.4 MAIN RESULTS – Pedagogies on sustainability

- There were identified pedagogies in 12 of the 26 studies.
- Preferential pedagogies are practical conference attendance, project building participation and self-learning (Ramirez, 2006; Christie *et al.*, 2014).
- Kitamura and Hoshii (2010) observed that students lack practical tasks and interdisciplinary collaboration at under and post graduation programmes.
- In the case of pedagogies addressed to university professors, the situation is poorly investigated. Mingue *et al*. (2011) conducted research with 331 teachers which indicates that 25% are unaware of what they are doing.



4.5 MAIN RESULTS – Universities roles' in SHE

- In the selected articles, there were identified only two studies about the role of universities in fomenting SHE.
- Evangelinos *et al.* (2009) conducted personal interviews with 155 students – their results show a balance between students that think universities' roles should be awareness stimulators (43.9%) and those that think they should be environmental management promoters (41.9%).
- Davidson *et al*. (2009) is more assertive on the need for
- curricula reformulation in order to incorporate sustainability in regular under- and postgraduate courses.



5 CONCLUSIONS

- SHE is a complex field that involves theoretical and practical actions and reflection for society's transitions from both, concreteinstrumental, and cognitive-formative places of higher education institutions.
- There is a gap between knowledge and attitudes of students: they usually declare awareness and knowledge about the need for changing behaviour for a better society, but tend to stay in their own comfort zone of no change.
- In terms of pedagogy, practical studies are preferred to theoretical.
- There is need for universities to claim themselves means for organising their action with communities and, internally, to integrate curricula and academic activities.



REFERENCES

Bremer, M., López-Franco, R. 2006. Sustainable development: ten years of experience at ITESM's graduate level. Journal of Cleaner Production 14: 952-957.

Breunig, M., Murtell, J., Russell. C., Howard, R. 2014. The impact of integrated environmental studies programs: are students motivated to act proenvironmentally? **Environmental Education Research**, 20:3, 372-386.

Carew, A.L., Mitchell, C.A. 2008. Teaching sustainability as a contested concept: capitalizing on variation in engineering educators' conceptions of environmental, social and economic sustainability. **Journal of Cleaner Production** 16: 105-115.

Christie, B.A., Miller, K.K., Cooke, R., White, J.G. 2013. Environmental sustainability in higher education: how do academics teach? **Environmental Education Research**, 19:3, 385-414.

Christie, B.A., Miller, K., Cooke, R., White, J.G. 2014. Environmental sustainability in higher education: What do academics think? **Environmental Education Research**, doi: 10.1080/13504622.2013.879697, p. 1-33.

Davidson, C.I., Hendrickson, C.T., Matthews, H.S., Bridges, M.W., Allen, D.T., Murphy, C.F., Allenby, B.R., Crittenden, J.C., Austin, S. 2010. Preparing future engineers for challenges of the 21st century: Sustainable engineering. Journal of Cleaner Production 18: 698-701.

Desha, C.J., Hargroves, K.C. 2010. Surveying the state of higher education in energy efficiency, in Australian engineering curriculum. **Journal of Cleaner Production** 18: 652-658.

Ellis, G., Weekes, T. 2008. Making sustainability 'real': using group-enquiry to promote education for sustainable development, **Environmental Education Research**, 14:4, 482-500.

Erdogan, M., Tuncer, G. 2009. Evaluation of a Course: "Education and Awareness for Sustainability". International Journal of Environmental & Science Education V 4, N 2, April: 133-146.

Evangelinos, K.I., Jones, N., Panoriou, E.M. 2009. Challenges and opportunities for sustainability in regional universities: a case study in Mytilene, Greece. Journal of Cleaner Production 17: 1154-1161.

Glassey, J., Haile, S. 2012. Sustainability in chemical engineering curriculum. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education V13 N 4: 354-364.

Holt, D. 2003. The role and impact of the business school curriculum in shaping environmental education at Middlesex University. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education V 4 N 4:324-343.

Kagawa, F. 2007. Dissonance in students' perceptions of sustainable development and sustainability. Implications for curriculum change. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education V 8, N 3: 317-338.

REFERENCES

Kitamura, Y., Hoshii, N. 2010. Education for sustainable development at Universities in Japan. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education V 11 N 3: 202-216.

Minguet, P.A., Martinez-Agut, P., Palacios, B., Piñero, A., Ull, M.A. 2011. Introducing sustainability into university curricula: an indicator and baseline survey of the views of university teachers at the University of Valencia. Environmental Education Research, 17:2, 145-166.

Mintz, K., Tal, T. 2013. Education for sustainability in higher education: a multiple-case study of three courses. Journal of Biological Education, 47:3, 140-149.

Murray, P.E., Murray, S.A. 2007. Promoting sustainability values within career-oriented degree programmes. A case study analysis. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education V 8, N 3: 285-300.

Qablan, A.M., AL-Ruz, J.A., Khasawneh, S., Al-Omari, A. 2009. Education for Sustainable Development: Liberation or Indoctrination? An Assessment of Faculty Members' Attitudes and Classroom Practices. International Journal of Environmental & Science Education V 4, N 4, October: 401-417.

Ramirez, M., 2006. Sustainability in the education of industrial designers: the case for Australia. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education V 7, N 2: 189-202.

Richter, T., Schumacher, K.P. 2011. Who really cares about higher education for sustainable development? Journal of Sciences 7 (1): 24-32.

Stir, J. 2006. Restructuring teacher education for sustainability: student involvement through a "strengths model". Journal of Cleaner Production 14: 830-836.

Shephard, K., Furnari, K. 2013. Exploring what university teachers think about education for sustainability. **Studies in Higher Education**, 38:10: 1577-1590.

Velazquez, L., Munguia, N., Platt, A., Taddei, J. 2006. Sustainable university: what can be the matter? Journal of Cleaner Production 14: 810-819.

Wright, T. S.A., Wilton, H. 2012. Facilities management directors' conceptualizations of sustainability in higher education. Journal of Cleaner Production 31: 118-125.

Yuan, X., Zuo, J. 2013. A critical assessment of the Higher Education For Sustainable Development from students' perspectives e a Chinese study. **Journal** of Cleaner Production V48: 108-115.

Zsóka, A., Szerényi, Z.M., Széchy, A., Kocsis, T. 2013. Greening due to environmental education? Environmental knowledge, attitudes, consumer behavior and everyday pro-environmental activities of Hungarian high school and university students. **Journal of Cleaner Production** 48: 126-138.

